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Objectives: To evaluate the characteristics of patients with various substance-related disorders, and to examine rates of retention in treatment.

Methods: We assessed the demographic characteristics, substance abuse, and psychological distress of 239 men and women at admission. Six-month performance was evaluated, using as criteria length of stay in treatment, abstinence, attendance in therapy sessions, and completion status at discharge.

Results: Moderate to severe psychological distress was observed among these individuals. Higher levels of depression were found among women and in individuals with alcohol and sedative use disorders. The primary drug of abuse, frequency of use, and reason for entering treatment were the most significant predictors of retention.

Conclusions: Opiate-addicted clients had the worst prognosis and treatment profiles. Further research is needed to identify factors that would optimize treatment for opiate dependence.

(Can J Psychiatry 2000;45:927–931)
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Findings from various evaluation studies have been optimistic about the effectiveness of treatment for alcohol and drug use disorders. Multisite longitudinal outcome studies, such as the Drug Abuse Reporting Program (DARP) (1), the Treatment Outcome Prospective Study (TOPS) (2), and the Drug Abuse Treatment Outcome Study (DATOS) (3–5), have reported successful outcomes. These include reduced drug use, decreased criminal activity, and improved psychological and vocational functioning (2,3,5–8). These improvements were more apparent as length of stay in treatment increased. Despite these favourable results, the effectiveness of addiction treatment is frequently debated. Early attrition continues to be a central issue in evaluation studies and a serious obstacle in clinical practice. Several studies have reported dropout rates greater than 50% within the first month of treatment (9), and investigators have studied various pretreatment client characteristics to identify predictors of early dropout. Sociodemographic factors (10–12), substance use variables (7,9,11,12), and psychiatric comorbidity (12,15–17) have proven to be modest predictors, accounting for up to 25% of the variance in treatment outcome (12–14,17). However, such percentages should be interpreted cautiously since the clinical significance of the variables may be low and the causal influence of most variables has not been experimentally determined.

This study examines the clinical profiles of patients with various substance abuse disorders and explores factors that predict treatment outcome in the early stages of addiction treatment. Treatment outcome was determined by length of stay in treatment; abstinence, measured by mandatory urine screening tests; attendance in individual and group psychotherapy sessions; and completion status, defined as having completed recommended treatment or having dropped out.

Method

The sample consisted of 239 men and women with substance use disorders who sought treatment at the Addictions Unit of the Montreal General Hospital. The unit follows an abstinence-oriented philosophy and provides care to clients with all forms of psychoactive substance use disorders. There were no exclusion criteria; all clients entering treatment were eligible for the study.
During the initial visit, trained addiction therapists using a semistructured interview collected detailed information on demographics, current and lifetime drug and alcohol abuse, addiction treatment history, psychiatric status, educational background, employment and legal status, and family history. Patients were asked to complete the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (18) and the revised Symptom Check List-90 (SCL-90-R) (19).

After assessment, each patient began a standard treatment program consisting of weekly group psychotherapy, medical and psychiatric monitoring, and random urine screening. Progress was monitored by examining monthly patient progress notes, clinic charts, results of random urine screening tests, and discharge summaries.

Results

The sample was stratified into 5 groups on the basis of the primary and secondary drug of abuse. These drug groups included: alcohol only, alcohol+ (alcohol with a secondary drug of abuse), cocaine+ (cocaine with or without a secondary drug of abuse), opiates+ (opiates with or without a secondary drug of abuse), and sedatives+ (sedative-hypnotics with or without a secondary drug of abuse). Most participants were male (66.5%) and white (94%); their mean age was 39.45 years (SE 0.7) years. Individuals entered treatment primarily for health (38.4%) and family or social (26.7%) problems. Employment (34.7%) and social assistance (39.0%) were their major sources of financial support, with men relying more on employment than did women (n = 234; $\chi^2 = 17.08$, df 2, $P = 0.003$).

There were significant differences in age between the 5 drug groups ($F_{4,233} = 16.15$, $P = 0.001$) (Table 1). Post-hoc analysis showed that the alcohol and sedatives+ groups were significantly older than the cocaine+ and opiates+ groups ($P = 0.05$). Most subjects in the sedatives+ group (77.3%) were women ($n = 234; \chi^2 = 21.50$, df 4, $P = 0.001$). The alcohol and alcohol+ groups had significantly more years of problem use ($F_{4,219} = 8.30$, $P = 0.001$), and the opiates+ and sedatives+ groups were more likely to be daily users ($n = 227; \chi^2 = 75.04$, df 4, $P = 0.001$). The opiate+ group consisted mainly of heroin users (83%), most of whom used heroin intravenously (62.5%).
Table 3. Six-month treatment outcome by primary drug of abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug group</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Alcohol+</th>
<th>Cocaine+</th>
<th>Opiates+</th>
<th>Sedatives+</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of days in treatment (SE)</td>
<td>n = 73</td>
<td>n = 48</td>
<td>n = 62</td>
<td>n = 29</td>
<td>n = 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.8 (8.7)</td>
<td>113.9 (9.5)</td>
<td>94.2 (9.3)</td>
<td>62.5 (11.9)</td>
<td>105.2 (14.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median number of days in treatment</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>120.5</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>108.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of treatment completers</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of positive urine screens</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of positive urine screens for primary drug</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The effects of primary drug of abuse on 6-month survival in treatment. The group abusing opiates had the lowest rates of survival in treatment (Wilcoxon [Gehan] statistic = 13.34, P = 0.009)

**Six-Month In-treatment Performance**

Significant differences between groups were observed on length of stay in treatment \( F_{4,233} = 3.51, P = 0.008 \) and the number of positive urine screens for the primary drug \( F_{4,227} = 29.55, P = 0.001 \). Post-hoc tests indicated that the opiate+ group had significantly lower retention and significantly higher rates of positive urine screens (Table 3).

Multiple regression analyses were performed to determine predictors of treatment outcome; variables included demographic characteristics, substance use variables, and measures of psychological distress (BDI scores and SCL-90-R total score and subscores). Results showed that the reason for entering treatment (family, employment, health, legal, or financial problems) \( r = -0.16, 2.6\% \) of variance and the frequency of use of the primary drug \( r = -0.21, 4.2\% \) of variance) were significant indicators of length of stay in treatment \( F_{2,225} = 9.24, P = 0.001 \) at 6-month follow-up. Survival analysis was used to compare the dropout rates among the primary drug groups. The primary drug of abuse was a significant indicator of survival. The opiate+ group displayed a significantly higher dropout rate (Figure 1).

**Discussion**

This study examined the profiles of individuals with different drug and alcohol abuse disorders and explored variables that predicted treatment outcome. Analysis indicated that the primary substance of abuse was the largest predictor of dropout and poor outcome. Subsequent analysis on substance use variables indicated that the frequency of use of the primary drug of abuse was a significant, though modest, indicator of 6-month survival in treatment. More frequent substance use prior to treatment predicted shorter survival in treatment.

**Psychological Symptomatology at Intake**

Moderate levels of depression were observed at admission as indicated by the mean BDI score of 19.58 (SE 0.73). There was a significant sex difference on BDI scores \( F_{1,215} = 10.43, P = 0.001 \), with women (mean 22.47, SE 1.42) scoring higher than men (mean 18.06, SE 0.80). After dichotomizing the BDI scores using 20 as the cut-off (a score ≥20 suggests the presence of moderate to severe depression), chi-square analysis revealed that individuals in the alcohol and alcohol+ groups had a significantly higher percentage of BDI scores ≥20 than the other groups \( n = 212; \chi^2 = 9.36, df 4, P = 0.05 \) (Table 2). Analysis indicated that scores on all SCL-90-R subscales and global indices were significantly different between groups: Global Severity Index \( F_{4,208} = 7.38, P = 0.001 \), Positive Symptom Total \( F_{4,208} = 5.82, P = 0.001 \), and Total Symptom Score \( F_{4,208} = 7.37, P = 0.001 \). Post-hoc analysis showed that the alcohol+ and sedatives+ groups had significantly higher scores \( P < 0.05 \).
Compared with other substance-abusing clients, opiate-addicted persons stayed in treatment for very brief periods of time, completed recommended therapy less frequently, had the highest rates of daily use, and had lower rates of abstinence as indicated by urine toxicology screening. Survival analysis also showed that this group of patients tended to withdraw from treatment at a faster rate, especially during the first month of therapy. Of this group of clients, 52% had dropped out of the program within the first month. These results clearly demonstrate the difficulty of engaging and retaining opiate addicts in treatment. Simpson and colleagues asserted that the presence of such premature dropouts has significant implications regarding the overall effectiveness of treatment (5); hence, more research is needed to understand the factors contributing to early drop out.

In addition to predicting low treatment compliance, opiates have detrimental effects on the lives of individual addicts. Opiate dependence has wider public health implications, particularly in terms of AIDS. Many opiate-dependent individuals, particularly heroin users, who constitute the majority of opiate-dependence cases, administer drugs intravenously. Needle-sharing and high-risk sexual practices make intravenous drug users a very high-risk group for the transmission of HIV (20–22). Researchers have found that long-term retention in treatment for drug dependence, by controlling drug use, can play an important role in limiting the spread of AIDS (20,23). The effectiveness of treatments for opiate dependence and the ability to retain patients in treatment represent critical research issues.

Based on these results, the utility of traditional drug-free interventions for opiate dependence should be questioned. Several experts have noted that opiate abusers who have been stabilized on methadone may respond better to subsequent treatment and rehabilitation (24,25). The poor outcome for opiate-using patients in this study suggests that additional or alternative interventions may need to be developed for this population, including increased use of substitution therapies. Future studies should develop strategies for reducing early dropout and compare various treatment modalities to identify the most appropriate intervention for opiate-addicted individuals.
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Résumé—Traitement des troubles liés à une substance dans un programme axé sur l’abstinence : résultat faible associé à la dépendance à un opiacé

Objectifs : Cette étude a été menée en vue d’évaluer les caractéristiques des patients souffrant de divers troubles liés à une substance et d’examiner les taux de maintien en traitement.

Méthodes : Deux cent trente-neuf femmes et hommes ont été évalués à l’arrivée concernant les caractéristiques démographiques, la toxicomanie et la dépression psychologique. Le rendement à six mois de traitement a été évalué en examinant la durée du séjour en traitement, les taux d’abstinence, la présence aux séances de thérapie, et l’état d’avancement lors du congé.

Résultats : Une dépression psychologique de modérée à grave a été observée chez ces personnes. Des niveaux élevés de dépression ont été constatés chez les femmes et les personnes souffrant de troubles de consommation d’alcool et de sédatifs. La principale drogue consommée, la fréquence de consommation et la raison de commencer un traitement étaient les principaux prédicteurs significatifs du maintien en traitement.

Conclusions : Les clients présentant une dépendance à un opiacé avaient les pires pronostics et profils de traitement, ce qui indique le besoin de recherche additionnelle afin de cerner les facteurs qui amélioreraient le traitement de la dépendance aux opiacés.